

The Yangian Symmetry in the Spin Calogero Model and its Applications

Kouichi Takemura ¹

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan

February 1997

Abstract

By using the non-symmetric Hermite polynomials and a technique based on the Yangian Gelfand-Zetlin bases, we decompose the space of states of the Calogero model with spin into irreducible Yangian modules, construct an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors and derive product-type formulas for norms of these eigenvectors.

¹e-mail: takemura@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction.

The Calogero model and the Calogero-Sutherland model describe integrable quantum many-body systems with long-ranged interaction [7, 20]. In the Calogero model the particles move on the line $\mathbb{R}^1$, and in the Calogero-Sutherland model the particles move on the circle S^1 . The spin Calogero-Sutherland model has a non-abelian symmetry identified with the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ [6]. In [21], the space of states of the spin Calogero-Sutherland model is decomposed into irreducible Yangian submodules and an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors is constructed. Product-type formulas for the norms of these eigenvectors are derived by using the irreducible decomposition of the space of states as the Yangian module and the technique based on the Yangian Gelfand-Zetlin bases [8, 17, 18].

On the other hand, similarities of the algebraic structures between the spin Calogero-Sutherland model and the spin Calogero model are pointed out in [13, 22]. In this paper they are summarized in section 4. Moreover the spin Calogero model has a Yangian symmetry [5]. In this paper we introduce the Yangian symmetry in the spin Calogero model, in a little different way from [5], decompose the space of states of the spin Calogero model into irreducible Yangian submodules, and by using this decomposition construct an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors and derive product-type formulas for the norms of these eigenvectors similar to the spin Calogero-Sutherland model.

The similarity between these two models appears in the expression of the eigenbasis. The eigenbasis of the Calogero-Sutherland model is written in terms of the non-symmetric Jack polynomials, and the one in the Calogero model is written in terms of the non-symmetric Hermite polynomials. These two families of polynomials are deeply connected [3, 4], in particular see the relation (4.21) in this paper. This is the key point why we can treat the Calogero model in a way similar to the one applied to the Calogero-Sutherland model in [21].

Acknowledgment The author would like to thank D. Uglov for useful comments and support. Thanks are also due to Professors M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa.

2 Spin Calogero model with harmonic potential.

Here we will define the Hamiltonian of the Calogero model and the space of states.

Introduce the Calogero Hamiltonian with spin as follows [7].

$$H_{CH} := -\hbar^2 H_C + \omega^2 \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2, \quad H_C := \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} - \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq N} \frac{\lambda^2 - \kappa \lambda P_{j,k}}{(x_j - x_k)^2}, \quad (2.1)$$

The Hamiltonian H_{CH} acts on wave functions of the form $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_N \mid s_1, \dots, s_N)$, where s_i ($1 \leq i \leq N$) takes values in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and is called the spin variable. The operator $P_{i,j}$ acts on the wave functions and changes the i -th spin and the j -th spin:

$$(P_{i,j}\phi)(\dots \mid \dots, s_i, \dots, s_j, \dots) := \phi(\dots \mid \dots, s_j, \dots, s_i, \dots). \quad (2.2)$$

The constant κ is ± 1 and the system is called bosonic (resp. fermionic) if $\kappa = 1$ (resp. $= -1$). The wavefunction of the bosonic (resp. fermionic) Calogero model is symmetric (resp. antisymmetric) with respect to the exchange of the coordinate and the spin at the same time.

Remark For the spinless bosonic Calogero model, the wave function of the ground state is as follows:

$$\tilde{\phi}_0 = e^{-\frac{\omega}{2\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \prod_{j < k} |x_j - x_k|^\lambda. \quad (2.3)$$

We introduce the following gauge transformation and a new Hamiltonian,

$$\phi_0 := \prod_{j < k} |x_j - x_k|^\lambda, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\tilde{H}_C := \phi_0^{-1} H_C \phi_0 = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + \sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq N} \left\{ \frac{2\lambda}{x_j - x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\lambda(\kappa P_{i,j} - 1)}{(x_j - x_k)^2} \right\}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\tilde{H}_{CH} := \phi_0^{-1} H_{CH} \phi_0 = -h^2 \tilde{H}_C + \omega^2 \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2. \quad (2.6)$$

The spaces of states of the gauge transformed bosonic ($\kappa = 1$) (resp. fermionic ($\kappa = -1$)) Calogero model are

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\kappa)} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{N-1} \text{Ker}(K_{i,i+1} P_{i,i+1} - \kappa 1) \subset \mathcal{H} := e^{-\frac{\omega}{2\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \cdot \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N] \otimes (\otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n) \quad (2.7)$$

Here $K_{i,j}$ exchanges x_i and x_j , and $P_{i,j}$ exchanges the i -th component and j -th component of $\otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n$.

We will define the scalar product on the space $\mathcal{H}^{(\kappa)}$. For this purpose we first define the scalar product on $\otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n$ and $e^{-\frac{\omega}{2\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \cdot \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$.

We fix the base $\{v_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon=1, \dots, n}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and define in $\otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n$ the hermitian (sesquilinear) scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_s$ by requiring pure tensors to be orthonormal:

$$\langle v_{\epsilon_1} \otimes v_{\epsilon_2} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\epsilon_N}, v_{\tau_1} \otimes v_{\tau_2} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\tau_N} \rangle_s := \prod_{i=1}^N \delta_{\epsilon_i, \tau_i} \quad (\epsilon_i, \tau_i = 1, 2, \dots, n). \quad (2.8)$$

In $e^{-\frac{\omega}{2\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \cdot \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$ ($\ni f, g$) define the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_c$ as follows.

$$\langle f, g \rangle_c := \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_i \right) \prod_{j < k} |x_j - x_k|^{2\lambda} \overline{f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)} g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N). \quad (2.9)$$

The hermitian scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in the space $\mathcal{H}$ is defined as the composition of the scalar products (2.8) and (2.9). For $f, g \in e^{-\frac{\omega}{2\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \cdot \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$ and $u, v \in \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n$ put

$$\langle f \otimes u, g \otimes v \rangle := \langle f, g \rangle_c \langle u, v \rangle_s \quad (2.10)$$

and extend the $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the entire space $\mathcal{H}$ by requiring it to be sesquilinear. We define the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{(\kappa)}$ on the space $\mathcal{H}^{(\kappa)}$ by restricting the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to the subspace $\mathcal{H}^{(\kappa)}$.

3 Degenerate affine Hecke algebra and the non-symmetric Jack polynomial.

In this section we recall the definition of the non-symmetric Jack polynomial.

We define the operator d_i ($1 \leq i \leq N$) which act on $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$ as follows.

$$d_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \lambda \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1 - K_{i,j}}{x_i - x_j}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then we can check following relations.

$$[d_i, d_j] = 0, \quad K_{i,j} d_i = d_j K_{i,j} \quad (3.2)$$

Remark If $v \in \mathcal{H}^{(\kappa)}$ then we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N d_i^2 \right) v = \tilde{H}_C v. \quad (3.3)$$

We put $\alpha = 1/\lambda$ and set

$$\tilde{d}_i := \alpha x_i d_i + \sum_{j>i} K_{i,j}, \quad \hat{d}_i := \tilde{d}_i - N. \quad (3.4)$$

Then $\hat{d}_i$ are the Dunkl operators which appear in the Calogero-Sutherland model [11, 6]. (See [] (2.9))

$$\hat{d}_i = \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - i + \sum_{j>i} \frac{x_j}{x_j - x_i} (K_{i,j} - 1) - \sum_{j<i} \frac{x_i}{x_i - x_j} (K_{i,j} - 1). \quad (3.5)$$

Remark that the operators $\tilde{d}_i$ and $K_{i,i+1}$ satisfy the relations of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra.

$$[\tilde{d}_i, \tilde{d}_j] = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$K_{i,i+1} \tilde{d}_i - \tilde{d}_{i+1} K_{i,i+1} = 1. \quad (3.7)$$

$$[\tilde{d}_i, K_{j,j+1}] = 0, \quad |i - j| > 1. \quad (3.8)$$

The actions of $\tilde{d}_i$ on monomials are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_i \cdot x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_N^{n_N} &= (\alpha n_i - i + N) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_N^{n_N} \\ &+ \sum_{j<i} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_{l=n_j+1}^{n_i} (x_i^l x_j^{n_i+n_j-l} \prod_{m \neq i,j} x_m^{n_m}) & (n_i > n_j) \\ 0 & (n_i = n_j) \\ \sum_{l=n_i+1}^{n_j} -(x_i^l x_j^{n_i+n_j-l} \prod_{m \neq i,j} x_m^{n_m}) & (n_i < n_j) \end{array} \right. \\ &- \sum_{j>i} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_{l=n_j}^{n_i-1} (x_i^l x_j^{n_i+n_j-l} \prod_{m \neq i,j} x_m^{n_m}) & (n_i > n_j) \\ 0 & (n_i = n_j) \\ \sum_{l=n_i}^{n_j-1} -(x_i^l x_j^{n_i+n_j-l} \prod_{m \neq i,j} x_m^{n_m}) & (n_i < n_j) \end{array} \right. . \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_N := \{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N \mid m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_N \geq 0\}$ be the set of partitions. For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$ we set

$$S^{\mathbf{m}} := \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N \mid i < j \text{ and } m_{\sigma i} = m_{\sigma j} \Rightarrow \sigma(i) < \sigma(j)\}. \quad (3.10)$$

We can identify the pair $(\mathbf{m}, \sigma)$ ($\mathbf{m}$: partition, $\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}$) and $\mathbf{n} (\in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N)$ as follows.

$$(\mathbf{m}, \sigma) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{n} = (m_{\sigma(1)}, m_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(N)}). \quad (3.11)$$

Then $\sigma (\in S^{\mathbf{m}})$ satisfy the following property:

$$\text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq N \quad \sigma(i) = \#\{j \leq i \mid m_{\sigma(j)} \geq m_{\sigma(i)}\} + \#\{j > i \mid m_{\sigma(j)} > m_{\sigma(i)}\}. \quad (3.12)$$

In the set $S^{\mathbf{m}}$ introduce the total ordering by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \succ \sigma', \quad & \text{iff} \quad \text{the last non-zero element} \\ & \text{in } (m_{\sigma(1)} - m_{\sigma'(1)}, m_{\sigma(2)} - m_{\sigma'(2)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(N)} - m_{\sigma'(N)}) \text{ is } < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Notice that the identity in $\mathfrak{S}_N$ is the maximal element in $S^{\mathbf{m}}$ in this ordering. Then in the set of pairs $(\mathbf{m}, \sigma)$ ($\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$, $\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}$) the partial ordering is defined by

$$(\mathbf{m}, \sigma) > (\tilde{\mathbf{m}}, \tilde{\sigma}) \quad \text{iff} \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{m} > \tilde{\mathbf{m}} & \text{or} \\ \mathbf{m} = \tilde{\mathbf{m}}, & \sigma \succ \tilde{\sigma} \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

where $\mathbf{m} > \tilde{\mathbf{m}}$ means that $\mathbf{m}$ is greater than $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}$ in the dominance (natural) ordering in $\mathcal{M}_N$,

$$\mathbf{m} > \tilde{\mathbf{m}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (3.15)$$

$$\mathbf{m} \neq \tilde{\mathbf{m}}, \quad |\mathbf{m}| := \sum_{i=1}^N m_i = |\tilde{\mathbf{m}}|, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^j m_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^j \tilde{m}_i \quad (1 \leq \forall j \leq N-1).$$

The eigenvectors $\Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$ of the Dunkl operators are labeled by the pairs $(\mathbf{m}, \sigma)$ ($\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$, $\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}$) and satisfy the following properties:

$$\Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(z) = x_1^{m_{\sigma(1)}} x_2^{m_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots x_N^{m_{\sigma(N)}} + \sum_{(\tilde{\mathbf{m}}, \tilde{\sigma}) < (\mathbf{m}, \sigma)} c_{(\mathbf{m}, \sigma); (\tilde{\mathbf{m}}, \tilde{\sigma})} x_1^{\tilde{m}_{\tilde{\sigma}(1)}} x_2^{\tilde{m}_{\tilde{\sigma}(2)}} \cdots x_N^{\tilde{m}_{\tilde{\sigma}(N)}}; \quad (3.16)$$

$$\tilde{d}_i \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(x) = \xi_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(x), \quad \text{where} \quad \xi_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) := \alpha m_{\sigma(i)} + N - \sigma(i) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N); \quad (3.17)$$

$$K_{i,i+1} \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(x) = \mathcal{A}_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(x) + \mathcal{B}_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) \Phi_{\sigma(i,i+1)}^{\mathbf{m}}(x), \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) &:= \frac{1}{\xi_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) - \xi_{i+1}^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)}, \\ \mathcal{B}_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) &:= \begin{cases} \frac{(\xi_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) - \xi_{i+1}^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma))^2 - 1}{(\xi_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) - \xi_{i+1}^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma))^2} & (m_{\sigma(i)} > m_{\sigma(i+1)}), \\ 0 & (m_{\sigma(i)} = m_{\sigma(i+1)}), \\ 1 & (m_{\sigma(i)} < m_{\sigma(i+1)}). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Notice that for $\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}$ we have $\sigma(i+1) = \sigma(i) + 1$ whenever $m_{\sigma(i)} = m_{\sigma(i+1)}$, and hence in this case,

$$K_{i,i+1} \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(x) = \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(x) \quad (m_{\sigma(i)} = m_{\sigma(i+1)}). \quad (3.20)$$

We remark that if $\alpha > 0$ then the jointeigenvalues are all distinct and $\Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(x)$ are well-defined. We call these polynomials $\Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(x)$ the non-symmetric Jack polynomials [9, 15]. If we set $\psi := x_N K_{N-1,N} K_{N-2,N-1} \cdots K_{1,2}$, we get (c.f. [14])

$$\psi \cdot \Phi^{\mathbf{n}}(x) = \Phi^{\mathbf{n}'}(x). \quad (3.21)$$

Here, $\Phi^{(m_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(N)})} := \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(x)$, $\mathbf{n} (\in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N) := (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N)$, and $\mathbf{n}' := (n_2, n_3, \dots, n_N, n_1 + 1)$.

For $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$, $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$ set

$$\langle f, g \rangle_J := \frac{1}{N!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \oint_{|w_i|=1} \frac{dw_i}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i \neq j} 1 - \frac{w_i}{w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \overline{f(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N)} g(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_N) \quad (3.22)$$

where the integration over each of the complex variables w_i is taken along the unit circle in the complex plane.

If we denote by $A^{\dagger}$ the adjoint of the operator A with respect to the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_J$, we get

$$K_{i,i+1}^{\dagger} = K_{i,i+1}, \quad x_i^{\dagger} = x_i^{-1}, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\tilde{d}_i^{\dagger} = \tilde{d}_i, \quad \psi^{\dagger} = \psi^{-1}. \quad (3.24)$$

The non-symmetric Jack polynomials are orthogonal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_J$ and the recursive relations for the norms are

$$(1 - \mathcal{A}_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)^2) \langle \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(x), \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(x) \rangle_J = \mathcal{B}_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)^2 \langle \Phi_{\sigma(i,i+1)}^{\mathbf{m}}(x), \Phi_{\sigma(i,i+1)}^{\mathbf{m}}(x) \rangle_J, \quad (3.25)$$

$$\langle \Phi^{\mathbf{n}}(x), \Phi^{\mathbf{n}}(x) \rangle_J = \langle \Phi^{\mathbf{n}'}(x), \Phi^{\mathbf{n}'}(x) \rangle_J. \quad (3.26)$$

where $\mathbf{n} (\in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N) := (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N)$, and $\mathbf{n}' := (n_2, n_3, \dots, n_N, n_1 + 1)$.

4 Creation operators and the non-symmetric generalized Hermite polynomials.

We will introduce the creation (annihilation) operators, the Dunkl operators for the Calogero model, and the non-symmetric generalized Hermite polynomials. These polynomials are also introduced in [3, 4] in a little different way.

In this section, we will deal with the following scalar product (2.9):

$$\langle f, g \rangle_c := \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_i \right) \prod_{j < k} |x_j - x_k|^{2\lambda} \overline{f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)} g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N).$$

First we can check that

$$d_i^{\dagger} = -d_i, \quad x_i^{\dagger} = x_i. \quad (4.1)$$

We define the annihilation operators as follows,

$$A_i := hd_i + \omega x_i, \quad \bar{A}_i := \frac{1}{2h\omega} A_i. \quad (4.2)$$

Then the adjoints of the operators A_i are

$$A_i^{\dagger} := -hd_i + \omega x_i. \quad (4.3)$$

We call $A_i^{\dagger}$ the creation operators. The commutation relations are

$$[A_i^{\dagger}, A_j^{\dagger}] = [\bar{A}_i, \bar{A}_j] = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

$$[A_i^{\dagger}, K_{j,k}] = [\bar{A}_i, K_{j,k}] = 0, \quad (i \neq j, k), \quad (4.5)$$

$$A_i^{\dagger} K_{i,j} = K_{i,j} A_j^{\dagger}, \quad \bar{A}_i K_{i,j} = K_{i,j} \bar{A}_j, \quad (4.6)$$

$$[\bar{A}_i, A_j^{\dagger}] = \delta_{i,j} \left(1 + \lambda \sum_{k \neq i} K_{i,k} \right) + (1 - \delta_{i,j}) \lambda K_{i,j}. \quad (4.7)$$

Remark If we replace $A_i^\dagger \rightarrow x_i$, $\bar{A}_i \rightarrow d_i$, the same relations as (4.4 – 4.7) are satisfied [13, 22].

We introduce the Dunkl operators

$$\tilde{\Delta}_i := \alpha A_i^\dagger \bar{A}_i + \sum_{j>i} K_{i,j}, \quad (\alpha = \frac{1}{\lambda}). \quad (4.8)$$

Then the operators $\tilde{\Delta}_i$ and $K_{i,i+1}$ satisfy the relations of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra.

$$[\tilde{\Delta}_i, \tilde{\Delta}_j] = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

$$K_{i,i+1} \tilde{\Delta}_i - \tilde{\Delta}_{i+1} K_{i,i+1} = 1, \quad (4.10)$$

$$[\tilde{\Delta}_i, K_{j,j+1}] = 0, \quad |i - j| > 1. \quad (4.11)$$

The sum of the Dunkl operators is essentially the same as the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}_{CH}$.

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{\Delta}_i \right) v = \left(\frac{-1}{2h\omega\lambda} \tilde{H}_{CH} + \frac{N}{2\lambda} \right) v, \quad \text{if } v \in \mathcal{H}^{(\kappa)}. \quad (4.12)$$

Next, we will calculate the joint eigenfunctions of the operators $\tilde{\Delta}_i$. we will use the following relations later.

$$\bar{A}_i e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} = 0, \quad K_{i,k} e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} = e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}. \quad (4.13)$$

If we move $\bar{A}_i$ and $K_{i,j}$ to the right by the relations (4.5, 4.6, 4.7) and use the relations (4.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\Delta}_i (A_1^\dagger)^{n_1} (A_2^\dagger)^{n_2} \dots (A_N^\dagger)^{n_N} e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \\ &= (\alpha n_i - i + N) (A_1^\dagger)^{n_1} (A_2^\dagger)^{n_2} \dots (A_N^\dagger)^{n_N} e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \\ &+ \sum_{j<i} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_{l=n_j+1}^{n_i} (A_i^\dagger)^l (A_j^\dagger)^{n_i+n_j-l} \prod_{m \neq i,j} (A_m^\dagger)^{n_m} & (n_i > n_j) \\ 0 & (n_i = n_j) \\ \sum_{l=n_i+1}^{n_j} (A_i^\dagger)^l (A_j^\dagger)^{n_i+n_j-l} \prod_{m \neq i,j} (A_m^\dagger)^{n_m} & (n_i < n_j) \end{array} \right\} e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \\ &- \sum_{j>i} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_{l=n_j}^{n_i-1} (A_i^\dagger)^l (A_j^\dagger)^{n_i+n_j-l} \prod_{m \neq i,j} (A_m^\dagger)^{n_m} & (n_i > n_j) \\ 0 & (n_i = n_j) \\ \sum_{l=n_i}^{n_j-1} (A_i^\dagger)^l (A_j^\dagger)^{n_i+n_j-l} \prod_{m \neq i,j} (A_m^\dagger)^{n_m} & (n_i < n_j) \end{array} \right\} e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Comparing to the relation (3.9), the coefficients of $x_1^{k_1} \dots x_N^{k_N}$ and $(A_1^\dagger)^{k_1} \dots (A_N^\dagger)^{k_N} e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}$ are the same for all $(k_1, \dots, k_N)$. So the joint eigenfunction of the operators $\tilde{\Delta}_i$ are written by using the non-symmetric Jack polynomials:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_i \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} = \xi_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\text{where } \xi_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) := \alpha m_{\sigma(i)} + N - \sigma(i) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N).$$

Because of the relations

$$[A_i^\dagger, A_j^\dagger] = [x_i, x_j] = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

$$[A_i^\dagger, K_{j,k}] = [x_i, K_{j,k}] = 0, \quad (i \neq j, k) \quad (4.17)$$

$$A_i^\dagger K_{i,j} = K_{i,j} A_i^\dagger, \quad x_i K_{i,j} = K_{i,j} x_j, \quad (4.18)$$

we get

$$K_{i,i+1} \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \quad (4.19)$$

$$= \mathcal{A}_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} + \mathcal{B}_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) \Phi_{\sigma(i,i+1)}^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2},$$

$$\tilde{\psi} \cdot \Phi^{\mathbf{n}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \quad (4.20)$$

$$:= A_N^\dagger K_{N-1,N} K_{N-2,N-1} \dots K_{1,2} \Phi^{\mathbf{n}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}$$

$$= \Phi^{\mathbf{n}'}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}.$$

Here $(\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N, \sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}), \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N)$, and $\mathbf{n}' = (n_2, n_3, \dots, n_N, n_1 + 1)$. The coefficients $\mathcal{A}_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma), \mathcal{B}_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)$ are the same as those in the Jack case (3.19).

We define the non-symmetric generalized Hermite polynomials as follows.

$$\Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}(H)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) := e^{\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \left(\frac{1}{2\omega} \right)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \quad (4.21)$$

If we set the degrees as follows,

$$\deg(\omega) := -2, \quad \deg(h) := 0, \quad \deg(x_i) := 1, \quad (4.22)$$

the polynomial $\Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}(H)}(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ is homogeneous and $\deg(\Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}(H)}(x_1, \dots, x_N)) = |\mathbf{m}|$. The non-symmetric generalized Hermite polynomials have the following expansion:

$$\Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}(H)}(x) = \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(x) + \sum_{|\mathbf{n}| < |\mathbf{m}|} c_{\mathbf{n}, \sigma}^{\mathbf{m}} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_N^{n_N}. \quad (4.23)$$

We note the relationship between the symmetric Jack (resp. Hermite) polynomials and the non-symmetric Jack (resp. Hermite) polynomials. We define the symmetric Jack (resp. Hermite) polynomials as the joint eigenfunctions for the operators $\sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{d}_k^i$ (resp. $e^{\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N (\hat{\Delta}_k^i) \cdot e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}$) ($k = 1, \dots, N$). They are labeled by the partitions and normalized so that the coefficient of the highest term (for the dominance ordering of the partitions) is 1 [19, 2]. The symmetric Jack (resp. Hermite) polynomials are written by the sum of the non-symmetric Jack (resp. Hermite) polynomials as follows.

$$J^{\mathbf{m}}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}} c_\sigma \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(x), \quad (\text{resp. } H^{\mathbf{m}}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}} c_\sigma \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}(H)}(x)). \quad (4.24)$$

Remark that the coefficients c_σ for the Jack case and for the Hermite case are the same.

We calculate the recursion relations for the norms of $\Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}$. From the relation (4.19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \mathcal{A}_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)^2) \langle \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c \\ &= \mathcal{B}_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)^2 \langle \Phi_{\sigma(i,i+1)}^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, \Phi_{\sigma(i,i+1)}^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

If we put $\mathbf{m}$: partition, $\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}$, $\mathbf{n} = (m_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(N)})$, and $\mathbf{n}' = (n_2, n_3, \dots, n_N, n_1 + 1)$, by using the relation (4.20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Phi^{\mathbf{n}'}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, \Phi^{\mathbf{n}'}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c = \dots \\ &= \frac{2h\omega}{\alpha} \langle \Phi^{\mathbf{n}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, (\tilde{\Delta}_1 + \alpha) \Phi^{\mathbf{n}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c \\ &= 2h\omega \left(m_{\sigma(1)} + 1 + \frac{N - \sigma(1)}{\alpha} \right) \langle \Phi^{\mathbf{n}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, \Phi^{\mathbf{n}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

By comparing to the Jack cases (3.25, 3.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\langle \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c}{\langle \Phi_{\sigma(i,i+1)}^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, \Phi_{\sigma(i,i+1)}^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c} \\ &= \frac{\langle \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(x), \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(x) \rangle_J}{\langle \Phi_{\sigma(i,i+1)}^{\mathbf{m}}(x), \Phi_{\sigma(i,i+1)}^{\mathbf{m}}(x) \rangle_J}, \\ & \frac{\langle \Phi^{\mathbf{n}'}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, \Phi^{\mathbf{n}'}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c}{\langle \Phi^{\mathbf{n}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, \Phi^{\mathbf{n}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c} \\ &= 2h\omega \left(m_{\sigma(1)} + 1 + \frac{N - \sigma(1)}{\alpha} \right) \frac{\langle \Phi^{\mathbf{n}'}(x), \Phi^{\mathbf{n}'}(x) \rangle_J}{\langle \Phi^{\mathbf{n}}(x), \Phi^{\mathbf{n}}(x) \rangle_J}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27) \quad (4.28)$$

Because of the relations (4.24, 4.27, 4.28), we can calculate the norms of the symmetric Hermite polynomials.

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle H^{\mathbf{m}}(x) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, H^{\mathbf{m}}(x) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c \\ &= \left(\frac{\omega}{2h} \right)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \prod_{(i,j) \in \mathbf{m}} \left(j + \frac{N-i}{\alpha} \right) \langle J^{\mathbf{m}}(x), J^{\mathbf{m}}(x) \rangle_J \frac{\langle e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle_J} \\ &= \left(\frac{\omega}{2h} \right)^{|\mathbf{m}| + \frac{N(N-1)}{2\alpha}} \left(\frac{\omega\pi}{h} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} N! \prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma(m_i + 1 + \frac{N-i}{\alpha}) \\ & \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{\Gamma(m_i - m_j + \frac{-i+j+1}{\alpha}) \Gamma(m_i - m_j + 1 + \frac{-i+j-1}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(m_i - m_j + 1 + \frac{-i+j}{\alpha}) \Gamma(m_i - m_j + \frac{-i+j}{\alpha})}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

$\prod_{(i,j) \in \mathbf{m}}$ means the product over the (i, j) -boxes contained in the Young diagram $\mathbf{m}$. To get the formula (4.29), we used the following formulas [16] chap. VI 10.38, [2] prop 3.7.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J^{\mathbf{m}}(x), J^{\mathbf{m}}(x) \rangle_J &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{\Gamma(m_i - m_j + \frac{-i+j+1}{\alpha}) \Gamma(m_i - m_j + 1 + \frac{-i+j-1}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(m_i - m_j + 1 + \frac{-i+j}{\alpha}) \Gamma(m_i - m_j + \frac{-i+j}{\alpha})}, \\ & \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x_i^2} dx_i \right) \prod_{j < k} |x_j - x_k|^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} = 2^{-\frac{N(N-1)}{2\alpha}} \pi^{\frac{N}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{j+1}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{j}{\alpha})}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.30) \quad (4.31)$$

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^N \oint_{|w_i|=1} \frac{dw_i}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i \neq j} 1 - \frac{w_i}{w_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \frac{\Gamma(1 + \frac{N}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha})^N}. \quad (4.32)$$

Remark that if $\frac{\omega}{h} = 1$ then the formula (4.29) is written in [2] prop 3.7.

5 Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ and the Yangian Gelfand-Zetlin bases.

In this section we summarize properties of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ which are used in this paper. The main attention is given to the Gelfand-Zetlin algebra and the canonical Yangian Gelfand-Zetlin bases in certain irreducible Yangian modules.

The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is a unital associative algebra generated by the elements 1 and $T_{a,b}^{(s)}$ where $a, b = 1, \dots, n$ and $s = 1, 2, \dots$ that are subject to the following relations:

$$[T_{a,b}^{(r)}, T_{c,d}^{(s+1)}] - [T_{a,b}^{(r+1)}, T_{c,d}^{(s)}] = T_{c,b}^{(r)} T_{a,d}^{(s)} - T_{c,b}^{(s)} T_{a,d}^{(r)} \quad (r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \quad (5.1)$$

where $T_{a,b}^{(0)} := \delta_{a,b} 1$.

Introducing the formal Taylor series in u^{-1}

$$T_{a,b}(u) = \delta_{a,b} + T_{a,b}^{(1)} u^{-1} + T_{a,b}^{(2)} u^{-2} + \dots \quad (5.2)$$

Define $\overset{k}{T}(u)$ ($k = 1, 2$) as follows.

$$\overset{k}{T}(u) = \sum_{a,b=1}^n E_{a,b}^{(k)} \otimes T_{a,b}(u) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)[[u^{-1}]]. \quad (5.3)$$

Here $E_{a,b}^{(k)}$ are the standard matrix units that are acting in the k -th tensor factor $\mathbb{C}^n$. If we put

$$R(u, v) = 1 + \frac{1}{u-v} \sum_{a,b=1}^n E_{a,b}^{(1)} \otimes E_{b,a}^{(2)} \quad (5.4)$$

then the defining relations of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ are

$$R(u, v) \overset{1}{T}(u) \overset{2}{T}(v) = \overset{2}{T}(v) \overset{1}{T}(u) R(u, v). \quad (5.5)$$

Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ be two sequences of indices such that

$$1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq n. \quad (5.6)$$

Let $\mathfrak{S}_m$ be the symmetric group of degree m . Define

$$Q_{\mathbf{ij}}(u) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \cdot T_{i_1, j_{\sigma(1)}}(u) T_{i_2, j_{\sigma(2)}}(u-1) \dots T_{i_m, j_{\sigma(m)}}(u-m+1), \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$A_0(u) = 1, \quad A_m(u) = Q_{\mathbf{ii}}(u), \quad (m = 1, \dots, n) \quad (5.8)$$

$$B_m(u) = Q_{\mathbf{ij}}(u), \quad C_m(u) = Q_{\mathbf{ji}}(u). \quad (m = 1, \dots, n-1) \quad (5.9)$$

where $\mathbf{i} = (1, \dots, m)$ and $\mathbf{j} = (1, \dots, m-1, m+1)$. The following propositions are can be found in the paper [17].

Proposition 1 [17] *a) The coefficients of $A_n(u)$ belong to the center of the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$.
b) All the coefficients of $A_1(u), \dots, A_n(u)$ pairwise commute.*

By Proposition 1, the coefficients $A_m^{(s)}$ of the series $A_1(u), \dots, A_n(u)$:

$$A_m(u) = \sum_{s \geq 0} u^{-s} A_m^{(s)} \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, n) \quad (5.10)$$

generate the commutative subalgebra in $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. This algebra is called Gelfand-Zetlin algebra and is denoted by $A(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$.

Let V be an irreducible finite dimensional $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -module and $E_{a,b}$ be the generators of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. Denote by v_λ the highest weight vector in V :

$$E_{a,a} \cdot v_\lambda = \lambda_a v_\lambda \quad E_{a,b} \cdot v_\lambda = 0, \quad a < b. \quad (5.11)$$

Then each difference $\lambda_a - \lambda_{a+1}$ is a non-negative integer. We assume that each λ_a is also an integer. Denote by $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ the set of all arrays Λ with integral entries of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \lambda_{n,1} & \lambda_{n,2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \lambda_{n,n} \\ & \lambda_{n-1,1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \lambda_{n-1,n-1} \\ & & \ddots & & \cdots & & \\ & & & \lambda_{2,1} & \lambda_{2,2} & & \\ & & & & \lambda_{1,1} & & \end{array} \quad (5.12)$$

where $\lambda_{n,i} = \lambda_i$ and $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{m,i}$ for all i and m . The array Λ is called a Gelfand-Zetlin scheme if

$$\lambda_{m,i} \geq \lambda_{m-1,i} \geq \lambda_{m,i+1} \quad (5.13)$$

for all possible m and i . Denote by $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ the subset in $\mathcal{T}_\lambda$ consisting of the Gelfand-Zetlin schemes [12].

Let us recall some facts about representations of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$.

If we set $u' = u + h, v' = v + h$ ($h \in \mathbb{C}$), the relations (5.5) are also satisfied for (u', v') . Thus the map

$$T_{a,b}(u) \mapsto T_{a,b}(u + h) \quad (5.14)$$

defines an automorphism of the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. So if there is a representation V of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$, we can construct another representation of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ by the pullback through this automorphism.

We can regard the representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ as the representation of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. This transpires due to the existence of the homomorphism π_n from $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ to $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$: the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$:

$$\pi_n : T_{a,b}(u) \mapsto \delta_{a,b} + E_{b,a} u^{-1}. \quad (5.15)$$

Let V_λ be the irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -module whose highest weight is $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$. We denote by $V_\lambda(h)$ the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -module obtained from V_λ by the pullback through this homomorphism and the automorphism (5.15).

The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ has the coproduct $\Delta : Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. It is given as follows.

$$\Delta(T_{a,b}(u)) = \sum_{c=1}^n T_{a,c}(u) \otimes T_{c,b}(u). \quad (5.16)$$

So if there are representations V_i ($i = 1, \dots, M$) of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$, we can construct the representation $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \dots \otimes V_M$ of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$:

$$T_{a,b}(u) \cdot (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_M) = \Delta^{(M)} \circ \dots \circ \Delta^{(2)}(T_{a,b}(u))(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_M) \quad (5.17)$$

$$= \sum_{k_1 \dots k_{M-1}} T_{a,k_1}(u)v_1 \otimes T_{k_1,k_2}(u)v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes T_{k_{M-1},b}(u)v_M.$$

From now on we consider the following representation of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$:

$$W = V_{\lambda^{(1)}}(h^{(1)}) \otimes V_{\lambda^{(2)}}(h^{(2)}) \otimes \dots \otimes V_{\lambda^{(M)}}(h^{(M)}) \quad (5.18)$$

where we assume that $h^{(r)} - h^{(s)} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $r \neq s$.

Let us set $\rho_0(u) = 1$ and for $m = 1, \dots, n$ let us define

$$\rho_m(u) = \prod_{s=1}^M \prod_{i=1}^m (u - i + 1 + h^{(s)}), \quad (5.19)$$

and

$$a_m(u) = \rho_m(u)A_m(u) \quad m = 0, \dots, n, \quad (5.20)$$

$$b_m(u) = \rho_m(u)B_m(u) \quad m = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (5.21)$$

$$c_m(u) = \rho_m(u)C_m(u) \quad m = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (5.22)$$

Then $a_m(u)$, $b_m(u)$, and $c_m(u)$ are polynomials in u ,

Let us fix a set of Gelfand-Zetlin schemes

$$\Lambda^{(s)} = (\lambda_{m,i}^{(s)} | 1 \leq i \leq m \leq n) \in \mathcal{T}_{\lambda^{(s)}} \quad (s = 1, \dots, M), \quad (5.23)$$

and define the following polynomials for $m = 0, \dots, n$.

$$\varpi_{m, \Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}(u) = \prod_{s=1}^M \prod_{i=1}^m (u + \lambda_{m,i}^{(s)} - i + 1 + h^{(s)}). \quad (5.24)$$

Note that all the zeroes of the m -th polynomial

$$\nu_{m,i}^{(s)} = i - \lambda_{m,i}^{(s)} - 1 - h^{(s)}, \quad (5.25)$$

are pairwise distinct due to our assumption on the parameters $h^{(1)}, \dots, h^{(M)}$.

For the pairs (m, m') ($1 \leq m' \leq m \leq n$), we introduce the ordering,

$$(m, m') \prec (l, l') \Leftrightarrow m' < l' \text{ or } (m' = l' \text{ and } m > l). \quad (5.26)$$

Let $v_{h.w.v} \in W$ be the vector, which is the tensor product of the highest weight vectors $v_{h.w.v}^{(s)}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ ($s = 1, \dots, M$). Then consider the following vector in W

$$v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}} = \prod_{(m, m')}^{\rightarrow} \left(\prod_{\substack{(s,t) \\ 1 \leq t \leq \lambda_{n,m'}^{(s)} - \lambda_{m,m'}^{(s)}}} b_m(\nu_{m,m'}^{(s)} - t) \right) \cdot v_{h.w.v}, \quad (5.27)$$

Remark that for each fixed m the elements $b_m(\nu_{m,m'}^{(s)} - t) \in \text{End}(W)$ mutually commute.

Then the following propositions are satisfied. (See [18, 21])

Proposition 2 [18] *For every $m = 1, \dots, n$ we have the equality*

$$a_m(u) \cdot v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}} = \varpi_{m, \Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}(u) \cdot v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}. \quad (5.28)$$

Proposition 3 [18] *$Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -module W is irreducible if $h^{(r)} - h^{(s)} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $r \neq s$.*

Proposition 4 $v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}} \ (\Lambda^{(r)} \in \mathcal{S}_{\lambda^{(r)}} \text{ for every } r \in \{1, \dots, M\})$ form a base of W .

6 Yangian in the Spin Calogero Model.

In this section we recall the definition of the Yangian action in the spin Calogero model and establish some properties of this action – in particular the self-adjointness of the operators giving the action of the Gelfand-Zetlin algebra.

for $\kappa = \pm$ define the Monodromy operator $\hat{T}_0^{(\kappa)}(u) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{H})[[u^{-1}]]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_0^{(\kappa)}(u) &= \sum_{a,b=1}^n E_{a,b} \otimes \hat{T}_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(u) \\ &:= \left(1 + \frac{P_{0,1}}{u - \kappa \bar{\Delta}_1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{P_{0,2}}{u - \kappa \bar{\Delta}_2}\right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{P_{0,N}}{u - \kappa \bar{\Delta}_N}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

the $P_{0,i}$ in this definition is the permutation operator of the 0-th and i -th tensor factors $\mathbb{C}^n$ in the tensor product

$$\mathbb{C}_0^n \otimes \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, z_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_N^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathbb{C}_1^n \otimes \mathbb{C}_2^n \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}_N^n = \mathbb{C}_0^n \otimes \mathcal{H}. \quad (6.2)$$

The $E_{a,b} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is the standard matrix unit in the basis $\{v_e\}$. The operators $\hat{T}_{a,b}^{(\kappa), (s)} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H})$ obtained by expanding the Monodromy matrix $\hat{T}_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(u)$:

$$\hat{T}_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(u) = \delta_{a,b} 1 + \sum_{s \geq 1} u^{-s} \hat{T}_{a,b}^{(\kappa), (s)} \quad (6.3)$$

satisfy the defining relations (5.1) of the algebra $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. By using the relations of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra (4.9, 4.10, 4.11) we can show

$$(K_{i,i+1} - \kappa P_{i,i+1}) \hat{T}^{(\kappa)}(u) = \hat{T}^{(\kappa)}(u) |_{\bar{\Delta}_i \leftrightarrow \bar{\Delta}_{i+1}} (K_{i,i+1} - \kappa P_{i,i+1}). \quad (6.4)$$

Then the operators $\hat{T}_{a,b}^{(\kappa), (s)}$ leave the subspace $\mathcal{H}^{(\kappa)}$ invariant. We will set

$$T_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(u) := \hat{T}_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(u) \Big|_{\mathcal{H}^{(\kappa)}} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H}^{(\kappa)})[[u^{-1}]] \quad (a, b = 1, 2, \dots, n). \quad (6.5)$$

Denote the generating series which give the action of the Gelfand-Zetlin algebra in the Yangian representation defined by the Monodromy matrix (6.1) by $A_1^{(\kappa)}(u), A_2^{(\kappa)}(u), \dots, A_n^{(\kappa)}(u)$. The $A_n^{(\kappa)}(u)$ is just the quantum determinant of the $T_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(u)$. Hence

$$[A_n^{(\kappa)}(u), T_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(v)] = 0 \quad (a, b = 1, 2, \dots, n). \quad (6.6)$$

The explicit expression for the quantum determinant [6]:

$$A_n^{(\kappa)}(u) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{u + 1 - \kappa \tilde{\Delta}_i}{u - \kappa \tilde{\Delta}_i}. \quad (6.7)$$

shows that the spin Calogero Hamiltonian (2.6) is an element in the center of the Yangian action and hence is an element in the Gelfand-Zetlin algebra.

By using the self-adjointness $\tilde{\Delta}_i^\dagger = \tilde{\Delta}_i$, we can show the following propositions (cf. [21] for the proofs).

Proposition 5

$$T_{a,b}^{(\kappa)}(u)^\dagger = T_{b,a}^{(\kappa)}(u) \quad (\kappa = -, +). \quad (6.8)$$

Proposition 6

$$A_m^{(\kappa)}(u)^\dagger = A_m^{(\kappa)}(u), \quad B_m^{(\kappa)}(u)^\dagger = C_m^{(\kappa)}(u), \quad C_m^{(\kappa)}(u)^\dagger = B_m^{(\kappa)}(u) \quad (\kappa = -, +). \quad (6.9)$$

7 Decomposition of the space of states into irreducible Yangian submodules.

In this section we construct the decomposition of the space of states of the Spin Calogero model into irreducible submodules of the Yangian action. The contents of this section is almost the same as [21] section 5.

7.1 Irreducible decomposition of the space of states with respect to the Yangian action. Fermionic case.

In this subsection we describe the decomposition of the space of states in the fermionic spin Calogero model: $\mathcal{H}^{(-)}$ into irreducible subrepresentations with respect to the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -action (6.1) ($\kappa = -$). Let $E^{\mathbf{m}} := \oplus_{\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}} \mathbb{C} \Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}$ ($\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$). And let

$$F^{\mathbf{m}} := (E^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes (\otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n)) \cap \mathcal{H}^{(-)}. \quad (7.1)$$

The (6.5) implies that the space $F^{\mathbf{m}}$ is invariant with respect to the Yangian action defined by (6.1) with $\kappa = -$. And since $\Phi_\sigma^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^\dagger, \dots, A_N^\dagger) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}$ ($\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$, $\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}$) form a base in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{(-)} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N} F^{\mathbf{m}}. \quad (7.2)$$

To describe each of the components $F^{\mathbf{m}}$ explicitly we need to make several definitions.

Let $W_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}} \subset \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n$ ($\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$) be defined by

$$W_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}} := \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq N \text{ s.t. } m_i = m_{i+1}} \text{Ker}(P_{i,i+1} + 1). \quad (7.3)$$

Note that $\dim W_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}} = 0$ unless $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N^{(n)}$ where

$$\mathcal{M}_N^{(n)} := \{ \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N \mid \#\{ m_k \mid m_k = i \} \leq n \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}) \}. \quad (7.4)$$

For $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ let λ be the highest weight of the fundamental $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -module:

$$\lambda = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_p, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{n-p}) \quad (1 \leq p \leq n). \quad (7.5)$$

For a highest weight of this form and $h \in \mathbb{C}$ denote the corresponding $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -module $V_\lambda(h)$ by $V_p(h)$. As a linear space the $V_p(h)$ is realized as the totally antisymmetrized tensor product of $\mathbb{C}^n$:

$$V_p(h) = \cap_{i=1}^{p-1} \text{Ker}(P_{i,i+1} + 1) \subset \otimes^p \mathbb{C}^n \quad (1 \leq p \leq n) \quad (7.6)$$

with normalization chosen so that the $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ highest weight vector in $V_p(h)$ is

$$\omega_p := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_p} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes v_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(p)}. \quad (7.7)$$

For an $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N^{(n)}$ let M be the number of distinct elements in the sequence

$$\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N).$$

And let p_s ($1 \leq p_s \leq n$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, M$) be the multiplicities of the elements in the $\mathbf{m}$:

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_{p_1} > m_{1+p_1} = m_{2+p_1} = \dots = m_{p_2+p_1} > \dots \\ \dots > m_{1+p_{M-1}+\dots+p_2+p_1} = m_{2+p_{M-1}+\dots+p_2+p_1} = \dots = m_{p_M+\dots+p_2+p_1} \equiv N. \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

With $\xi_i^{\mathbf{m}} := \xi_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\text{id})$ (3.17) set

$$h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)} := \xi_{1+p_1+p_2+\dots+p_{s-1}}^{\mathbf{m}} \quad (p_0 := 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, M). \quad (7.9)$$

Then for the linear space $W_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}}$ (7.3) we have

$$W_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}} = \begin{cases} V_{p_1}(h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes V_{p_M}(h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(M)}) & \text{when } \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N^{(n)}, \\ \emptyset & \text{when } \mathbf{m} \notin \mathcal{M}_N^{(n)}. \end{cases} \quad (7.10)$$

When $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N^{(n)}$ the $W_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}}$ is the Yangian module with the Yangian action defined by the coproduct (5.17).

For any $\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}$ (3.10) define $\check{\mathbb{R}}^{(-)}(\sigma) \in \text{End}(\otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n)$ by the following recursion relation:

$$\check{\mathbb{R}}^{(-)}(\text{id}) := 1, \quad (7.11)$$

$$\check{\mathbb{R}}^{(-)}(\sigma(i, i+1)) := -\check{R}_{i,i+1}(\xi_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) - \xi_{i+1}^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)) \check{\mathbb{R}}^{(-)}(\sigma) \quad (m_{\sigma(i)} > m_{\sigma(i+1)}) \quad (7.12)$$

where the R -matrix is given by

$$\check{R}_{i,i+1}(u) := u^{-1} + P_{i,i+1}. \quad (7.13)$$

Due to the property (3.10) of the set $S^{\mathbf{m}}$ this recursion relation is sufficient to define $\check{\mathbb{R}}^{(-)}(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}$. The definition of the $\check{\mathbb{R}}^{(-)}(\sigma)$ is unambiguous by virtue of the Yang-Baxter equation satisfied by the R -matrix (7.13).

For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$ define the map $U_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}} : \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by setting for $v \in \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n$

$$U_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}} v := \sum_{\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}} \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^{\dagger}, \dots, A_N^{\dagger}) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \otimes \check{\mathbb{R}}^{(-)}(\sigma) v. \quad (7.14)$$

Theorem 7 For any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$ we have

$$U_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}} : W_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}} \rightarrow F^{\mathbf{m}}. \quad (7.15)$$

And the $U_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}}$ is an isomorphism of the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -modules $W_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}}$ and $F^{\mathbf{m}}$.

The proof of this theorem is almost the same as the one given in [21] the Appendix A. (Exchange $\Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(z) \leftrightarrow \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^{\dagger}, \dots, A_N^{\dagger})e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}$)

For now let us notice that from this theorem it follows that the Yangian highest weight vector $\Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(-)}$ in $F^{\mathbf{m}}$ is given by

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(-)} = U_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}} \omega_{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_{\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}} \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^{\dagger}, \dots, A_N^{\dagger}) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \otimes \check{\mathbb{R}}^{(-)}(\sigma) \omega_{\mathbf{m}} \quad (7.16)$$

where the $\omega_{\mathbf{m}}$ is the highest weight vector in $W_{(-)}^{\mathbf{m}}$:

$$\omega_{\mathbf{m}} := \omega_{p_1} \otimes \omega_{p_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_{p_M}. \quad (7.17)$$

From the corollary 3.9 in [18] it follows that the modules $F^{\mathbf{m}}$ are irreducible if $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ since in this case in (7.10) we have $h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(r)} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ when $s \neq r$. Using results of [1] for the Yangian version, we can verify, that the $F^{\mathbf{m}}$ are irreducible under the weaker condition: $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}$.

7.2 Irreducible decomposition of the space of states with respect to the Yangian action. Bosonic case.

The decomposition of the space of states of the bosonic spin Calogero model: $\mathcal{H}^{(+)}$ into irreducible sub-representations with respect to the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -action (6.1) ($\kappa = +$) is carried out along the same lines as the one for the fermionic case.

Let for $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$ the $E^{\mathbf{m}}$ be defined as in the previous subsection. And let

$$B^{\mathbf{m}} := (E^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes (\otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n)) \cap \mathcal{H}^{(+)}. \quad (7.18)$$

The (6.5) implies that the space $B^{\mathbf{m}}$ is invariant with respect to the Yangian action defined by (6.1) with $\kappa = +$. And since $\Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^{\dagger}, \dots, A_N^{\dagger})e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}$ ($\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$, $\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}$) form a base in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{(+)} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N} B^{\mathbf{m}}. \quad (7.19)$$

To describe each of the components $B^{\mathbf{m}}$ explicitly we make several definitions analogous to those made in the previous subsection.

Let $W_{(+)}^{\mathbf{m}} \subset \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n$ ($\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$) be defined by

$$W_{(+)}^{\mathbf{m}} := \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq N \text{ s.t. } m_i = m_{i+1}} \text{Ker}(P_{i,i+1} - 1). \quad (7.20)$$

For $p = 1, 2, \dots$ let λ be the following $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ highest weight:

$$\lambda = (p, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}). \quad (7.21)$$

For a highest weight of this form and $h \in \mathbb{C}$ denote the corresponding $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -module $V_{\lambda}(h)$ by $V^p(h)$. As a linear space the $V^p(h)$ is realized as the totally symmetrized tensor product of $\mathbb{C}^n$:

$$V^p(h) = \cap_{i=1}^{p-1} \text{Ker}(P_{i,i+1} - 1) \subset \otimes^p \mathbb{C}^n \quad (p = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (7.22)$$

We choose normalization so that the highest weight vector in $V_p(h)$ is equal to $v_1^{\otimes p}$. As in the fermionic case, for an $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$ let M be the number of distinct elements in the sequence $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N)$. And let p_s ($s = 1, 2, \dots, M$) be the multiplicities of the elements in the $\mathbf{m}$:

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_{p_1} > m_{1+p_1} = m_{2+p_1} = \dots = m_{p_2+p_1} > \dots \\ \dots > m_{1+p_{M-1}+\dots+p_2+p_1} = m_{2+p_{M-1}+\dots+p_2+p_1} = \dots = m_{p_M+\dots+p_2+p_1} \equiv N. \end{aligned} \quad (7.23)$$

With $\xi_i^{\mathbf{m}} := \xi_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\text{id})$ (3.17) set

$$h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)} := -\xi_{1+p_1+p_2+\dots+p_{s-1}}^{\mathbf{m}} \quad (p_0 := 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, M). \quad (7.24)$$

Then for the linear space $W_{(+)}^{\mathbf{m}}$ (7.20) we have

$$W_{(+)}^{\mathbf{m}} = V^{p_1}(h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(1)}) \otimes V^{p_2}(h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(2)}) \otimes \dots \otimes V^{p_M}(h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(M)}) \subset \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (7.25)$$

The $W_{(+)}^{\mathbf{m}}$ is the Yangian module with the Yangian action defined by the coproduct (5.17).

For any $\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}$ (3.10) define $\check{\mathbb{R}}^{(+)}(\sigma) \in \text{End}(\otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n)$ by the following recursion relation:

$$\check{\mathbb{R}}^{(+)}(\text{id}) := 1, \quad (7.26)$$

$$\check{\mathbb{R}}^{(+)}(\sigma(i, i+1)) := \check{R}_{i, i+1}(-\xi_i^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) + \xi_{i+1}^{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)) \check{\mathbb{R}}^{(+)}(\sigma) \quad (m_{\sigma(i)} > m_{\sigma(i+1)}) \quad (7.27)$$

where the R -matrix $\check{R}_{i, i+1}(u)$ is given by (7.13).

As in the fermionic case, due to the property (3.10) of the set $S^{\mathbf{m}}$ this recursion relation is sufficient to define $\check{\mathbb{R}}^{(+)}(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}$. The definition of the $\check{\mathbb{R}}^{(+)}(\sigma)$ is unambiguous by virtue of the Yang-Baxter equation satisfied by the R -matrix (7.13).

For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$ define the map $U_{(+)}^{\mathbf{m}} : \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by setting for $v \in \otimes^N \mathbb{C}^n$

$$U_{(+)}^{\mathbf{m}} v := \sum_{\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}} \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^{\dagger}, \dots, A_N^{\dagger}) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \otimes \check{\mathbb{R}}^{(+)}(\sigma) v. \quad (7.28)$$

Theorem 8 For any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$ we have

$$U_{(+)}^{\mathbf{m}} : W_{(+)}^{\mathbf{m}} \rightarrow B^{\mathbf{m}}. \quad (7.29)$$

And the $U_{(+)}^{\mathbf{m}}$ is an isomorphism of the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -modules $W_{(+)}^{\mathbf{m}}$ and $B^{\mathbf{m}}$.

We omit the proof of this theorem since it is a straightforward modification of the proof of the theorem 1 given in [21]. From this theorem it follows that the Yangian highest weight vector $\Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(+)}$ in $B^{\mathbf{m}}$ is given by

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(+)} = U_{(+)}^{\mathbf{m}} v_1^{\otimes N} = \sum_{\sigma \in S^{\mathbf{m}}} \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^{\dagger}, \dots, A_N^{\dagger}) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \otimes \check{\mathbb{R}}^{(+)}(\sigma) v_1^{\otimes N}. \quad (7.30)$$

8 Norms of the highest weight vectors in the irreducible Yangian submodules.

In this section we will show the concrete expression of the norms $\langle \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(+)}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(+)} \rangle_{(+)}$ and $\langle \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(-)}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(-)} \rangle_{(-)}$. The method how to calculate is the same as [21] section 6.

(In the calculation of the norms of the highest weight vectors, we will use the norms of the symmetric generalized Hermite polynomials $\langle H_{\mathbf{m}}(x) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, H_{\mathbf{m}}(x) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c$ instead of $\langle J^{\mathbf{m}}(z), J^{\mathbf{m}}(z) \rangle_{J^{\cdot}}$)

Proposition 9 (*Bosonic cases*)

For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$ we have

$$\langle \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(+)} , \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(+)} \rangle_{(+)} = (2\omega)^{|\mathbf{m}|} \langle H^{\mathbf{m}}(x) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, H^{\mathbf{m}}(x) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c \quad (8.1)$$

where the norm $\langle H^{\mathbf{m}}(x) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, H^{\mathbf{m}}(x) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c$ of the symmetric generalized Hermite polynomial is given by the formula (4.29).

(*Fermionic cases*)

For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N^{(n)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(-)} , \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(-)} \rangle_{(-)} &= \prod_{1 \leq s < t \leq M} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(t)}}{\alpha} + \frac{p_t}{\alpha} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(t)}}{\alpha} - \frac{p_s}{\alpha}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(t)}}{\alpha} + \frac{p_t - p_s}{\alpha} + \theta(p_s \leq p_t)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(t)}}{\alpha} + \theta(p_s > p_t)\right)} \\ &\prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma(m_i + 1 + \frac{N-i}{\alpha}) \cdot \prod_{s=1}^M \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p_s}{\alpha} + 1\right)}{\{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + 1\right)\}^{p_s}} \cdot h^{-|\mathbf{m}|} \left(\frac{\omega}{2h}\right)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2\alpha}} \left(\frac{\omega\pi}{h}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} N!, \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

where

$$\theta(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } x \text{ is true,} \\ 0 & \text{when } x \text{ is false.} \end{cases} \quad (8.3)$$

9 Eigenbases of the Gelfand-Zetlin algebra in the irreducible Yangian submodules and norms of the eigenvectors.

In this section we construct eigenbases of the operator-valued series $A_1^{(\kappa)}(u), A_2^{(\kappa)}(u), \dots, A_n^{(\kappa)}(u)$ within each of the irreducible $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -submodules $F^{\mathbf{m}}$ ($\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N^{(n)}$) ($\kappa = -1$ – fermionic case) and $B^{\mathbf{m}}$ ($\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$) ($\kappa = 1$ – bosonic case), and compute norms of the eigenvectors that form these eigenbases.

Due to the isomorphisms given by the theorems 7 and 8 the construction of the eigenbases is carried out by a straightforward application of the results of Nazarov and Tarasov [18].

Let us fix a partition $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N) \in \mathcal{M}_N$ and let for $\kappa = -1$ $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N^{(n)} \subset \mathcal{M}_N$. Define the number p_s to be the multiplicities of the elements in the $\mathbf{m}$ (see (7.8)). In the fermionic case $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N^{(n)}$ and $p_s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots, M$).

For $p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ let $\mathcal{S}_p^{(-)}$ denote the set of all Gelfand-Zetlin schemes Λ that are associated with the irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -module with the highest weight (cf. sec. 7)

$$\underbrace{(1, 1, \dots, 1)}_p, \underbrace{(0, 0, \dots, 0)}_{n-p}. \quad (9.1)$$

An element of $\mathcal{S}_p^{(-)}$ is an array of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \lambda_{n,1} & \lambda_{n,2} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \lambda_{n,n} \\ & \lambda_{n-1,1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \lambda_{n-1,n-1} \\ & & \ddots & & \cdots & & \\ & & & \lambda_{2,1} & \lambda_{2,2} & & \\ & & & & \lambda_{1,1} & & \end{array} \quad (9.2)$$

where

$$(\lambda_{m,1}, \lambda_{m,2}, \dots, \lambda_{m,m}) = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{l_m}, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{m-l_m}) \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad (9.3)$$

$$l_n = p$$

and

$$\text{either } l_m = l_{m+1} \quad \text{or} \quad l_m = l_{m+1} - 1 \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1). \quad (9.4)$$

For $p \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{S}_p^{(+)}$ denote the set of all Gelfand-Zetlin schemes Λ that are associated with the irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ -module with the highest weight (cf. sec. 7)

$$(p, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}). \quad (9.5)$$

An element of $\mathcal{S}_p^{(+)}$ is a Gelfand-Zetlin scheme of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \alpha_n & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ & \alpha_{n-1} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \cdots & & \\ & & & \alpha_2 & 0 & & \\ & & & & \alpha_1 & & \end{array} \quad (9.6)$$

where

$$\alpha_m \leq \alpha_{m+1} \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, n-1), \quad (9.7)$$

$$\alpha_n = p.$$

Now let us define the following operator-valued series:
For the bosonic case set

$$a_m^{(+)}(u) = A_m^{(+)}(u), \quad b_m^{(+)}(u) = B_m^{(+)}(u), \quad c_m^{(+)}(u) = C_m^{(+)}(u). \quad (9.8)$$

And for the fermionic case set

$$a_m^{(-)}(u) = \Delta(u)A_m^{(-)}(u), \quad b_m^{(-)}(u) = \Delta(u)B_m^{(-)}(u), \quad c_m^{(-)}(u) = \Delta(u)C_m^{(-)}(u),$$

where $\Delta(u) = \prod_{i=1}^N (u + \hat{\Delta}_i)$. Then from the proposition 6 it follows that

$$a_m^{(\kappa)}(u)^\dagger = a_m^{(\kappa)}(u), \quad b_m^{(\kappa)}(u)^\dagger = c_m^{(\kappa)}(u), \quad c_m^{(\kappa)}(u)^\dagger = b_m^{(\kappa)}(u), \quad \kappa = -, +. \quad (9.9)$$

For a collection of Gelfand-Zetlin schemes $\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}$ such that $\Lambda^{(s)} \in \mathcal{S}_{p_s}^{(\kappa)}$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots, M$) define the following vector (cf. sec. 5):

$$v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}^{(\kappa)} = \prod_{(m, m')}^{\rightarrow} \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq \lambda_{n, m'}^{(s)} \\ \lambda_{n, m'}^{(s)} - \lambda_{m, m'}^{(s)}}} b_m^{(\kappa)}(\nu_{m, m'}^{(s)} - t) \right) \cdot \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\kappa)}, \quad (9.10)$$

$$v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}^{(\kappa)} \in \begin{cases} F^{\mathbf{m}} & (\kappa = -), \\ B^{\mathbf{m}} & (\kappa = +). \end{cases} \quad (9.11)$$

Here

$$\nu_{m, m'}^{(s)} = m' - \lambda_{m, m'}^{(s)} - 1 - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)} \quad (9.12)$$

and the $h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)}$ are defined by (7.9) (the fermionic case) and (7.24) (the bosonic cases).

From the proposition 4 and the theorems 7,8 it follows that the set

$$\{v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}^{(\kappa)} \mid \Lambda^{(s)} \in \mathcal{S}_{p_s}^{(\kappa)} \quad (s = 1, 2, \dots, M)\} \quad (9.13)$$

is a base of $F^{\mathbf{m}}$ (resp. $B^{\mathbf{m}}$) when $\kappa = -$ (resp. $+$). Due to the proposition 5.28 this is an eigenbase of the operators generating the Gelfand-Zetlin algebra:

$$A_m^{(\kappa)}(u) v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}^{(\kappa)} = \mathcal{A}_m^{(\kappa)}(u; \mathbf{m})_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}} v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}^{(\kappa)}, \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, n) \quad (9.14)$$

where the eigenvalues are defined by :

$$\mathcal{A}_m^{(-)}(u; \mathbf{m})_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}} = \prod_{s=1}^M \frac{u + 1 + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)}}{u + 1 + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)} - l_m^{(s)}}, \quad (\Lambda^{(s)} \in \mathcal{S}_{p_s}^{(-)}); \quad (9.15)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_m^{(+)}(u; \mathbf{m})_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}} = \prod_{s=1}^M \frac{u + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)} + \alpha_m^{(s)}}{u + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)}}, \quad (\Lambda^{(s)} \in \mathcal{S}_{p_s}^{(+)}). \quad (9.16)$$

Since $\langle \Phi_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{m}}(A_1^{\dagger}, \dots, A_N^{\dagger}) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2}, \Phi_{\tau}^{\mathbf{n}}(A_1^{\dagger}, \dots, A_N^{\dagger}) e^{-\frac{\omega}{2\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j^2} \rangle_c = 0$ when $\mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{n}$, the subspaces $F^{\mathbf{m}}$ (resp. $B^{\mathbf{m}}$) are pairwise orthogonal.

For $\alpha > 0$ one can verify, that the data $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$, $(\Lambda^{(1)}, \Lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)})$ ($\Lambda^{(s)} \in \mathcal{S}_{p_s}^{(\kappa)}$) are uniquely restored from the collection of rational functions

$$\mathcal{A}_1^{(\kappa)}(u; \mathbf{m})_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}, \mathcal{A}_2^{(\kappa)}(u; \mathbf{m})_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n^{(\kappa)}(u; \mathbf{m})_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}. \quad (9.17)$$

That is the joint spectrum of eigenvalues of the Gelfand-Zetlin algebra is simple. Since $A_m^{(\kappa)}(u)$ are self-adjoint, we obtain

Proposition 10 For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N^{(n)}$ (resp. $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$) the set

$$\{v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}^{(\kappa)} \mid \Lambda^{(s)} \in \mathcal{S}_{p_s}^{(\kappa)} \quad (s = 1, 2, \dots, M)\} \quad (9.18)$$

with $\kappa = -$ (resp. $\kappa = +$) is an orthogonal base of $F^{\mathbf{m}}$ (resp. $B^{\mathbf{m}}$).

The norms of the eigenvectors $v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}^{(\kappa)}$ are as follows,

Proposition 11 (*Bosonic case*)

Let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N$ and $\Lambda^{(s)} \in \mathcal{S}_{p_s}^{(+)}$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots, M$). If we write a Gelfand-Zetlin scheme $\Lambda^{(s)}$ as in (9.6):

$$\Lambda^{(s)} = \begin{array}{ccccccc} \alpha_n^{(s)} & 0 & \dots\dots\dots & 0 & & & \\ & \alpha_{n-1}^{(s)} & 0 & \dots\dots & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & \alpha_2^{(s)} & 0 & & \\ & & & & \alpha_1^{(s)} & & \end{array} \quad (9.19)$$

then the norm of the vector $v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}^{(+)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}^{(+)}, v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}^{(+)} \rangle_{(+)} &= \langle \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(+)}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(+)} \rangle_{(+)} \cdot \\ &\cdot \prod_{1 \leq m \leq n} \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq s \leq M} \frac{(\alpha_n^{(s)} - \alpha_m^{(s)})! (\alpha_n^{(s)} - \alpha_{m-1}^{(s)})! (\alpha_m^{(s)})^2}{(\alpha_m^{(s)} - \alpha_{m-1}^{(s)})! (\alpha_n^{(s)})^2} \right. \\ &\cdot \left\{ \prod_{\substack{(s, s') \\ s \neq s'}} \prod_{a=\alpha_m^{(s)}}^{\alpha_n^{(s)}-1} \frac{(-a + \alpha_n^{(s')} + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s')} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)}) (-1 - a + \alpha_{m-1}^{(s')} + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s')} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)})}{(-1 - a + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s')} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)})^2} \right\} \\ &\cdot \left. \prod_{\substack{(s, s') \\ s < s'}} \frac{(\alpha_n^{(s')} - \alpha_n^{(s)} + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s')} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)})}{(\alpha_m^{(s')} - \alpha_m^{(s)} + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s')} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)})} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (9.20)$$

where the $h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)}$ are defined by (7.24) with $\kappa = +$.

(*Fermionic case*)

Let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_N^{(n)}$ and $\Lambda^{(s)} \in \mathcal{S}_{p_s}^{(-)}$ ($s = 1, 2, \dots, M$). As in (9.2) define $l_m^{(s)}$ associated with the Gelfand-Zetlin scheme $\Lambda^{(s)}$ by the conditions $\lambda_{m, l_m^{(s)}}^{(s)} = 1$ and $\lambda_{m, l_m^{(s)}+1}^{(s)} = 0$. Then the norm of the vector $v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}^{(-)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}^{(-)}, v_{\Lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \Lambda^{(M)}}^{(-)} \rangle_{(-)} &= \langle \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(-)}, \Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{(-)} \rangle_{(-)} \cdot \\ &\cdot \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq s \leq M} \prod_{\substack{(m, m') \\ \lambda_{m, m'}^{(s)} \neq \lambda_{n, m'}^{(s)}}} (m' - 1)!^2 (p_s + 1 - m')!^2 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (9.21)$$

$$\left\{ \prod_{\substack{(s, s') \\ s < s'}} \prod_{\substack{(m, m') \\ \lambda_{m, m'}^{(s)} \neq \lambda_{n, m'}^{(s)} \\ \lambda_{m, m'}^{(s')} \neq \lambda_{n, m'}^{(s')}}} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{p_s} (m' - j - 1 + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s')} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)})^2 \prod_{j=0}^{p_{s'}} (m' - j - 1 + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s')})^2}{(h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s')})^4} \right\}$$

$$\left\{ \prod_{\substack{(s,s') \\ s \neq s'}} \prod_{\substack{(m,m') \\ \lambda_{m,m'}^{(s)} \neq \lambda_{n,m'}^{(s)} \\ \lambda_{m,m'}^{(s')} = \lambda_{n,m'}^{(s')}}} \frac{(m' - l_m^{(s')} + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s')} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)}) \prod_{j=0}^{p_s} (m' - j - 1 + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s')} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)})^2}{(m' - 1 - l_{m-1}^{(s')} + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s')} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)})(m' - 1 - l_m^{(s')} + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s')} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)})(m' - l_{m+1}^{(s')} + h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s')} - h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)})} \right\}$$

where $h_{\mathbf{m}}^{(s)}$ are defined by (7.9) with $\kappa = -$. In these product formulas the s and s' range from 1 to M and (m, m') ($n \geq m \geq m' \geq 1$) are coordinates of points in a Gelfand-Zeltin scheme of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$.

The proof is the same as the proof of the proposition 14 [21]. (Also see appendix B [21])

Together with the proposition 9, this proposition gives the norm formulas for the orthogonal eigenbasis of the spin Calogero model.

References

- [1] T. Akasaka, and M. Kashiwara,: To be published.
- [2] T. H. Baker, and P. J. Forrester,: The Calogero-Sutherland model and generalized classical polynomials, Preprint RIMS-1094 (1996). (solv-int/9608004)
- [3] T. H. Baker, and P. J. Forrester,: The Calogero-Sutherland model and polynomials with prescribed symmetry, Preprint (1996). (solv-int/9609010)
- [4] T. H. Baker, and P. J. Forrester,: Non-Symmetric Jack Polynomials and Integral Kernels, Preprint (1996). (q-alg/9612003)
- [5] D. Bernard, K. Hikami, and M. Wadati,: New Developments of Integrable Systems and Long-Ranged Interaction Models, ed. M. L. ge and Y. S. Wu (World Scientific, Singapore) 1 (1995).
- [6] D. Bernard, M. Gaudin, F. D. M. Haldane, and V. Pasquier,: J. Phys., **A26** 5219 (1993).
- [7] F. Calogero,: J. Math. Phys. **12** 419 (1971).
- [8] I. V. Cherednik,: Duke Math. J. **54** 563 (1987).
- [9] I. V. Cherednik,: Annals Math., **141** 191 (1995); Non-symmetric Macdonald Polynomials, IMRN **10** 483 (1995).
- [10] V. G. Drinfeld,: Sov. Math. Dokl. **36** 212 (1988); “Quantum Groups” in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 798 (1987).
- [11] C. F. Dunkl,: Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **311** 167 (1989).
- [12] I. M. Gelfand, and M. L. Zetlin,: Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **71** 825 (1950).
- [13] S. Kakei,: Common Algebraic Structure for the Calogero-Sutherland Models. Preprint (1996). (solv-int/9608009)
- [14] F. Knop, and S. Sahi,: A recursion and combinatorial formula for Jack polynomials, Preprint (1996). (q-alg/9610016)

- [15] I. G. Macdonald,: Affine Hecke algebra and Orthogonal Polynomials, Séminaire Bourbaki, **47** No. 797, 1 (1995).
- [16] I. G. Macdonald,: “Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials”, 2-nd ed., Clarendon Press (1995).
- [17] M. Nazarov, and V. Tarasov,: Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **30** 459 (1994).
- [18] M. Nazarov, and V. Tarasov,: Representations of Yangians with Gelfand-Zetlin bases, Preprint UWS-MRRS-94-148 (1994).
- [19] R. P. Stanley,: Adv. Math., **77** 76 (1989).
- [20] B. Sutherland,: J. Math. Phys. **12** 246, 251 (1971); Phys. Rev. **A4** 2019 (1971); ibid. **A5** 1372 (1972).
- [21] K. Takemura, and D. Uglov,: The Orthogonal Eigenbasis and Norms of Eigenvectors in the Spin Calogero-Sutherland Model, Preprint RIMS-1114 (1996). (solv-int/9611006)
- [22] H. Ujino, and M. Wadati,: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65** 2423 (1996).